1		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
2		FEB 1 0 2017
3		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY
4		2011
5		
6 7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
0	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12		Case No. 17-MJ-0298 (RAO)
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION
15	V.	
16	JOEL ROUGEAU,	
17	Defendant.	
18		Ī
19	I.  The Court conducted a detention hearing:	
20	☐ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case:	
21	allegedly involving:	
22	( ) a crime of violence.	
23	( ) an offense with a maximum sentence of life imprisonment or	
24	death.	
25	//	
26		
27	··	

1	() a narcotics or controlled substance offense with the maximum	
2	sentence of ten or more years.	
3	( ) any felony – where defendant convicted of two or more prior	
4	offenses described above.	
5	( ) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a	
6	minor victim, or possession of a firearm or destructive device or any	
7	other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	
8	§ 2250.	
9		
10	⊠ On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion	
11	[18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving, on further allegation by the	
12	Government of:	
13	(X) a serious risk that defendant will flee	
14	( ) a serious risk that the defendant will ( ) obstruct or attempt to	
15	obstruct justice; ( ) threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness	
16	or juror, or attempt to do so.	
17		
18	☐ The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable	
19	presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
20	the defendant's appearance as required and the safety or any person or the	
21	community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].	
22		
23	II.	
24	□ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will	
25	reasonably assure:   the appearance of the defendant as required.	
26	$\boxtimes$ the safety of any person or the community.	
27	☐ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence	
28	to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.	

1 III. 2 The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) 3 charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of 4 terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, 5 or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the 6 history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of 7 the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also 8 considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments, the 9 arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial 10 Services Agency. 11 IV. 12 The Court bases its conclusions on the following: 13 ⊠ As to risk of non-appearance: no viable bail resources; unverified 14 background information; prior failures to appear; defendant apparently extradited 15 from Mexico on this matter 16 As to danger to the community: facts and charge alleged in indictment; 17 criminal history 18 V. 19 The Court finds a serious risk that the defendant will  $\Box$ 20 obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 21 threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or juror, or 22 attempt to do so. 23 The Court bases its conclusions on the following: 24 VI. 25 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained until trial. 26 The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for 27 confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from 28